UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,337	02/21/2007	Arjen Amelink	207,517	5414
	7590 08/30/201 RAYNE & SCHWAB	0	EXAMINER	
666 THIRD AV	ENUE, 10TH FLOOR		BHAT, ADITYA S	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,337	AMELINK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ADITYA BHAT	2863		

	ADITYA BHAT	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
periods:	TK 1.114. The reply must be med	within one of the follow	virig time			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli	ance with 37 CED 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be-	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	L bolow),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	·	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence to a NOTE: The amendments appear to overcome the part of the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling a convergence to the present additional claims without canceling and the present additional claims without canceling and the present additional claims without canceling and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional claims are present additional claims and the present additional clai	orior art of record however, they ra	nise new issues that w				
further search and consideration. Specifically, the li scattered light was not previously recited in the clair			<u>uring the</u>			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		• • • •	OTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (r	-1 OL-324).			
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the			
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi 		l be entered and an ex	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ded below of appended.					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-27 and 29-41.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:						
_						
	/Aditya Bhat/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2863				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)